

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/766,225	01/28/2004	Troy Prince	ORB-024	2876		
75	90 04/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
Brian Kolkow		BAREFOOT	BAREFOOT, GALEN L			
Orbital Research 6340 Taylor Ro		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Leroy, OH 44		3644				
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/06/2000	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·							
•		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			0/766,225	PRINCE ET AL.					
			kaminer	Art Unit					
		-	alen L. Barefoot	3644					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appear	s on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply witreply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a) lication. tory period will ap II, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUNIC In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONTS to the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the company of t	,				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 24 Janua	ary 2006						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 January 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	<u>'</u>								
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•	• •						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or ele	ection requirement						
		aa. o.	out requirement.						
	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the		_						
10)[]	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection		= : :	• •					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Exam	iner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	r foreign prid	ority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments ha	ive been received in Ap	plication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority	documents have been r	received in this National	Stage				
	application from the Internationa	al Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)					
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	•		/Mail Date	0.450)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P7 r No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PT	U-102)				
			-						

Application/Control Number: 10/766,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Katz (5365490). Katz describes a body moving through a fluid, which by definition is a "missile" or "aircraft", a craft or vehicle that moves through a fluid (air). See figure 2 of Katz.

Katz shows multiple deployable flow effectors 27 that are response to pressure sensors 20 and are arranged around the circumference of the body in rows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz in view of Lisy et al(6105904).

Lisy shows flow effectors that are arranged around a nose cone and show multiple of them and they will generate a side force depending on their location. Art Unit: 3644

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the flow effectors of Lisy et al (904) for that of Katz around the nose of a air vehicle to control side forces as taught by Lisy et al since it is the mere substitution of flow control with the added use of side control force.

Claims 8,13-16,18,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly 1. anticipated by Zell (5326050).

Zell shows flow effectors 16 and 18 and inertial means 58 and it controls the side forces on the air vehicle.

1. Claims 9 –10,17,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zell in view of Lisy et al (6105904).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide plural flow effectors around the nose of Zell as taught by Lisy et al since it will effect the flow control in three dimensions since it will be more effective control in different directions.

2. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zell in view of Katz (5365490).

Katz in col. 10 states: "(24) The new method provides a number of advantages. In particular, it provides a relatively inexpensive and very reliable method for detecting the onset of turbulence in a fluid medium caused by a body moving in the medium. "

Art Unit: 3644

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the flow effector 27 of Katz for that of Zell since it operates at frequencies that will more accurately counter the disturbances from its onset and better effect the control of the flow around the nose.

Applicant's remarks have been considered but have not been deemed to be persuasive since they are more specific than what is claimed or the broadest reasonable interpretation of the prior art.

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Galen L Barefoot whose telephone number is 571-272-6898.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045.

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceedings should be directed to 800-786-9199.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 3, 2006

Galen Barefoot

Application/Control Number: 10/766,225 Page 6

Art Unit: 3644

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3644